


Peer reviewer capacity building

PHCFM

AFRICAN JOURNAL OF PRIMARY HEALTH CARE & FAMILY MEDICINE

Prof Bob Mash <https://phcfm.org>




Why is this an issue in Africa?

- Most available reviewers are early career researchers or clinician-scientists
- Large variance between reviewers
- Poor gatekeeping of scientific standards
- Irrelevant, vague or unhelpful feedback and guidance
- Irritated and de-motivated authors




B-COM applied to peer reviewers

- Understands the journal requirements
- Understands the role of the reviewer and ethical issues
- Expert in the field / topic / methods
- Able to critically appraise the science / methods
- Able to critically appraise the scholarship / argumentation
- Able to provide relevant constructive feedback
- Able to align feedback with the recommendation




B-COM applied to peer reviewers

- This is voluntary
- Obligation to the discipline and other researchers
- Helps develop research skills and own scholarship
- Contributes to academic CV and career pathway
- Keeps me up to date with other research in my field of interest
- CPD points
- Reduced APCs



B-COM applied to peer reviewers



The ideal peer reviewer

Selection of reviewers

Training and mentoring

Formative assessment and feedback

The ideal peer reviewers

Selection of peer reviewers

- Established researchers – known to the editors
- From author database – but unknown quantity
- By invitation and review of CVs
- Matching reviewers expertise with submissions

Training in professional networks


The screenshot shows a Facebook Watch interface. At the top, there are navigation icons for Facebook, search, home, profile, and a video player with a '9+' notification. Below these are tabs for 'Watch', 'Home', 'Live', 'Shows', 'Explore', 'Saved Videos', and 'Following'. The video player displays a slide with the following text:

Peer reviewing and critical appraisal

E-workshop: 1 April 2021

Klaus von Presentin
University of Cape Town
South African Family Practice

Innocent Desigye
University of Makerere
African Journal of PHC & Family Medicine

 **PRIMAFAMED**
Primary Care and Family Medicine Network for sub-Saharan Africa
<https://primafamed.sun.ac.za/>

The video player controls at the bottom show a progress bar at 0:02 / 2:20:51, along with settings, CC, and volume icons. A small video inset in the top right corner shows a smiling man.

<https://fb.watch/4l4jMiMn1i/>

Training at academic conferences

CPD accredited




24th National Family Practitioners Congress



Training in formal courses

Over 500 000 Students | 91 Countries | 24 Years



FPD SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

AHPPEL
Leading HPE

SHORT COURSE IN SCIENTIFIC PEER REVIEW

E-LEARNING

Cancel CREDITATION
30 ETHICAL CEUs (Level 2)

LEVEL 1
BBEE
CONTRIBUTOR

TOPWOMEN
LEADERS
CERTIFIED 2021

Ranked **3rd** best Private
Higher Education Institute
in South Africa by the
**World Scholarship
Forum**

REGISTERED
with the
DHET
SINCE 2002

Registered with the Department of Higher Education and Training as a Private Institution of Higher Education under the higher education act, 1997. Reg No. 2002/HE07/013

Newly Launched Publons Academy Offers Peer Review Training



By Enago Academy

Dec 6, 2021 2 mins read [Listen](#)



BECOME A MASTER OF PEER REVIEW



Mentoring as part of formal higher education

The screenshot displays the SUNLearn user interface. At the top left is the SUNLearn logo. The top navigation bar includes a menu icon, a 'Recent' dropdown, and user information for Robert-James Mash, including notification counts (56 and 21) and a profile picture. A left sidebar contains a navigation menu with options: Course Overview, General, Study Guides, Assessments, Assignment (highlighted in blue), Assignment 1 (with a list icon), and Questions and discussion. The main content area is titled 'Assignment 1' and contains the following text:

Aim
To demonstrate your ability to critically appraise an original research article and provide constructive feedback. Cancel

Grade
60%

Instructions
Weeks 2-9 should have provided you with the theoretical background to critically appraise and review the work of others. The ability to do this needs to be combined with the ability to provide constructive feedback to peers or students.

In this assignment, you will peer review an original research article that has been submitted to the African Primary Health Care and Family Medicine (PHCFM) journal.

Prof Mash, who is the Editor-in-Chief of the PHCFM journal, will invite you to peer review a submission and to submit a report to the journal with your recommendation. The journal will appoint other peer reviewers as normal.

You should submit to the PHCFM journal by the due date (you do not need to submit these in SunLearn):

1. A summary of the key issues in your report in the PHCFM text box
2. A copy of the article with your detailed comments and suggestions in track changes and comment boxes
3. A recommendation

Prof Mash will also peer review the submission so that he can compare his peer review with your own. Your review will be assessed, and feedback given in Assignment 1 in SunLearn together with a grade.

Developing critical appraisal as part of formal education and assessment

South African Family Practice

ISSN: (Online) 2078-6204, (Print) 2078-6190



Page 1 of 9

Registrar

Mastering Your Fellowship: Part 4, 2022



Authors:

Mergan Naidoo¹

Andrew Ross²

Tasleem Ras³

Ts'epo Motsohi⁴

Affiliations:

¹Department of Family Medicine, College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa

The 'Mastering Your Fellowship' series provides examples of the question format encountered in the written and clinical examinations, Part A of the Fellowship of the College of Family Physicians of South Africa examination. The series is aimed at helping family medicine registrars prepare for this examination.

Keywords: family physicians; FCFP (SA) examination; family medicine registrars; postgraduate training; national exit examination.

Introduction

Mentoring by editorial board



25 August 2021

Development of peer reviewers

Peer reviewing is an essential service that researchers offer to each other to improve the scientific validity of original research prior to publication and to decline work that has significant flaws. Peer reviewing is also important for establishing yourself as a researcher and academic. We are always looking for new peer reviewers from the disciplines of family medicine and primary health care.

New peer reviewers need to have published at least one original research article as a first author and preferably more than one, but are not yet an established researcher. New peer reviewers are twined with a member of the Board to peer review the same manuscript. The editorial board member will share their review with the new peer reviewer and also give feedback to them on how to improve their review. Mentoring can take place over 1-2 such manuscripts over the year.

Formative assessment and feedback

- Scoring of reviewers
- Opportunity for self-reflection / benchmarking
- Feedback from editor?
- Public feedback?

Discussion...

Academy of Science of South Africa (ASSAf)

ASSAf Research Repository

<http://research.assaf.org.za/>

B. Academy of Science of South Africa (ASSAf) Events

I. Other

2022-11

Annual National Scholarly Editors' Forum (NSEF) Meeting

Academy of Science of South Africa (ASSAf)

Academy of Science of South Africa (ASSAf)

<http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11911/259>

Downloaded from ASSAf Research Repository, Academy of Science of South Africa (ASSAf)